

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,504	10/26/2001	Yi-Ren Woo	1416.03US01	4675
27367	7590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.			SWEET, THOMAS	
SUITE 1600 - INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH		NTRE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IS, MN 55402-3319		3738	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\epsilon$				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summan	10/004,504	WOO ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas J Sweet	3738				
Pe:	The MAILING DATE of this communication appriod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for ause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Sta	itus						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	<u>pril 2005</u> .					
:	<i>,</i> —	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dis	sposition of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 40-55</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	• • •				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 40-55</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) 22-23 is/are objected to.	r clostion requirement					
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n election requirement.					
Ap	plication Papers						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
		epted or b) objected to by the					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	The dath of declaration is objected to by the La	variance. Note the attached Of	nee /tollott of tellity 10 102.				
Pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:		•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		eived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived				
	Jee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the serance copies not rec-					
	achment(s)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)				
1) [ 2) [	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date				
3)[		5)	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/14/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regard to the arguments of pages 7-8, stents are inherently rigid since they need to hold open a vessel. In the broadest reasonable interpretation of the term "rigid", the stents of Alt, Dayton and Solovay can be considered rigid. With regard to the arguments against the Alt reference and claim 49, the claim states a "rigid material with pores formed in the rigid material" and "rigid material having pores substantially extending through the rigid material" which is met by Alt (the material be that of the second layer). With regard to the arguments against the Solovay reference, Solovay discloses an embodiment (Col 3, lines 47-49) that the frame structure can be made unitary with the cover (col 3 lines 41-50) thereby the composite cover is rigid.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 15-19, 40-45, 48-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alt (US 6099561). Alt discloses an implantable prosthesis (fig. 1A, embodiment '053) comprising a rigid material (metal) with pores (col 5 line 19), wherein a filler comprising a bioactive agent (drugs, col 5, line 23) thereof, is located within the pores, wherein said rigid

Application/Control Number: 10/004,504

Art Unit: 3738

porous material with the filler presents a smoother surface for fluid flow than pores without filler (this is inherent since a filled pore presents less surface roughness).

With regard to claims 3, 43 and 52, as presented in claim 1 the filler presents a smooth surfaces to flow as compared to unfilled.

With regard to claim 4, filled pores are partly filled pores.

With regard to claims 5-8, 10, 18-19, 44 and 53, these claims are non-elected since Alt meets the Markush grouping of claim 1 by including a bioactive agent.

With regard to claims 11, 15, 45, 48 and 54, anti-thrombotic (col 5, line 33) is an anticoagulant.

With regard to claims 16-17, Alt's surface consists of bonded spheres which would present pores having interconnecting porosity and since the pores are interconnected, the pores therefore extend through the rigid material (not through the thickness of the rigid material).

With regard to claim 50, See column 3 lines 54-61

Claims 1-11, 14-15, 18-21 and 40-45 and 47-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dayton (US 5578075). Dayton discloses an implantable prosthesis (title) comprising a rigid material (11, rigid since the stent must hold open the vessel) with pores (claim 1), wherein a filler comprising a bioactive agent (claim 1) thereof, is located within the pores, wherein said rigid porous material with the filler presents a smoother surface for fluid flow than pores without filler (this is inherent since a filled pore presents less surface roughness).

With regard to claims 3, 43 and 52, as presented in claim 1 the filler presents a smooth surfaces to flow as compared to unfilled.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,504

Art Unit: 3738

With regard to claim 4, filled pores are partly filled pores.

With regard to claims 5-8, 10, 18-19, 44 and 53, these claims are non-elected since Dayton meets the Markush grouping of claim 1 by including a bioactive agent.

With regard to claims 11, 15, 45, 48 and 54, heparin (col 7, line 24) is an anticoagulant.

With regard to claims 14 and 47, Dayton discloses the use of antibodies (col 7, lines 24-30) which are disclosed in the present application as a progenitor attraction compound.

With regard to claims 20-21, Dayton specifies that the stent maybe made of polyurethane (col 6 last line).

With regard to claim 50, See column 8 lines 1-8

Claims 40-45 and 48-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Solovay (US 5,769,884). Solovay discloses an implantable medical device comprising a rigid material (30) having pores (abstract) and a filler (col 6) comprising hydrogel, a structural protein, a bioactive agent, or a mixture thereof (lines 47-55) to promote cellular attachment and proliferation.

With regards to claims 43 and 52, the filled pores inherently present a smooth surface to flow.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3738

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Page 5

Claims 12-13, 46 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dayton in view of Steinke et al (US 6033436). Dayton discloses an implantable prosthesis as discussed above. However, Dayton does not specifically discloses the use VEGF. Steinke et al discloses another implantable prosthesis using VEGF (col 9 lines 57-58) as a bioactive agent to promote angiogenic response. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use VEGF as taught by Steinke et al in the implantable prosthesis as disclosed by Dayton in order to promote angiogenic response.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J Sweet whose telephone number is 571-272-4761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00pm, M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,504

Art Unit: 3738

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tjs